

Expert Statement Regarding High-Dose Naloxone and Long-Acting Opioid Overdose Reversal Formulations in North Carolina

We, the undersigned, are North Carolina-based experts in overdose reversal. We make the following statement regarding new high-dose naloxone (e.g., “Kloxxado” 8 mg, “Zimhi” 5 mg, “Rezenopy” 10 mg) or long-acting nalmefene (e.g., “Opvee” 2.7 mg, “Zurnai” 1.5 mg) formulations for the treatment of a suspected opioid overdose.

As clinicians, researchers, advocates, and frontline providers working to curb overdose, we are broadly supportive of compassionate, person-centered innovations to prevent overdose deaths.

At this time, and in alignment with other experts from across the US,^{1,2,3,4,5} we do not recommend the use of any high-dose or long-acting overdose reversal agents in North Carolina by community groups, treatment providers, EMS and other first responders, or bystanders with access to naloxone. We also support the Call to Action emerging from the national Compassionate Overdose Response Summit.*

The marketing of high-dose naloxone is a historical and global anomaly. Naloxone titrated for overdose reversal, using a 4 milligram or lower dose intranasally or a 0.4 milligram dose intramuscularly, is extremely effective even in the case of overdoses involving fentanyl and other synthetic opioids currently in the drug supply.^{6,7,8} Indeed, layperson naloxone distribution was an innovation started by people who use drugs nearly 30 years ago, and long employed by programs serving this population.⁹ For decades, 0.4 milligram intramuscular naloxone has been used successfully to reverse overdoses; the evidence of effectiveness for this intervention largely comes from that product.¹⁰ This formulation is listed on the World Health Organization’s List of Essential Medications.¹¹ In Europe and Australia, where fentanyl and nitazenes are also present in street drugs, the approved dose of intranasal naloxone is only 1.4 mg. The marketing of expensive high-dose naloxone

Key Points

Over 17 years, successful overdose reversals with naloxone have needed on average only 1.6 doses.

Severe withdrawal from high doses of naloxone leads to more immediate re-dosing of opioids and using alone. Risk of subsequent overdose increases.

Nalmefene nasal spray has never been tested in the current North Carolina drug supply or on people using opioids. Its real-world effectiveness is unknown.

There is no urgency to switch to pharmaceuticals that are untested. Overdose rates in North Carolina are stabilizing with continued distribution of standard forms of naloxone.

* We support the Call to Action emerging from the Compassionate Overdose Response Summit: “1) People who use drugs should be involved in decisions regarding the research, development, selection, and distribution of opioid overdose reversal products. 2) Regulatory agencies and pharmaceutical manufacturers should carefully consider and communicate the risk and duration of withdrawal associated with higher dose and long-acting opioid antagonists. 3) Take-home naloxone kits should include at least two doses of an IM product containing 0.4mg or an IN product containing ≤ 4 mg. 5) At this time, high dose and long-acting opioid antagonists have no use in acute opioid overdose response. 5) Overdose response education materials, instructions on overdose response, and training should emphasize the restoration of breathing, avoiding withdrawal, and compassionate post-overdose support and care.” (See Russell E, Hawk M, Neale J, Bennett A, Davis C, Hill LG, et al. A call for compassionate opioid overdose response. *International Journal of Drug Policy*. 2024;133, 104587.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2024.104587>.)

products in the United States is a global and historical anomaly. Decades of consistent FDA labeling for all other approved forms of naloxone contend that a single low dose of naloxone should be administered initially, with additional dose titration until adequate reversal of respiratory depression is achieved, in order to avoid precipitating opioid withdrawal.^{12,13} A newly unveiled 17.6 year study of naloxone administration in a syringe service setting found that on average 1.63 (95% CI: 1.60, 1.65) naloxone doses were administered per reversal using intramuscular or 4 mg nasal formulations. Dose did not change substantially over the 17.6 years ($\chi^2=0.28$, 3 df, $p=0.60$), through phases of overdose, from prescription opioids, to heroin, to illicitly manufactured fentanyl, to xylazine.¹⁴

Severe Withdrawal Increases Risk for Repeated Overdose. Use of high-dose and long-acting opioid antagonists will likely produce unintended consequences that are counterproductive to efforts to prevent opioid overdose deaths.¹⁵ Severe precipitated withdrawal is characterized by hyperalgesia, diarrhea, agitation, and vomiting, especially at higher doses.^{16,17} Naloxone at lower doses has a relatively shorter half-life, lasting less than 90 minutes. However higher doses of naloxone and long-acting opioid reversal agents may lead to more severe withdrawal symptoms and for a longer duration, particularly for those with opioid tolerance, causing unnecessary harm.¹⁸

While pharmaceutical companies may argue that their product does not present as great a risk of precipitated withdrawal to opioid naïve individuals who experience an overdose, due to the stigma associated with drug use, naloxone distribution initiatives will not always know who is opioid naïve and who is dependent. Severe precipitated withdrawal should not be dismissed as an “unavoidable” adverse event expected to occur in some overdose reversals using antagonists. It could lead to short- and long-term changes in behavior that increase risk for subsequent overdose. For example, severe withdrawal can lead to immediate and repeated re-dosing with opioids. In the weeks that follow a reversal, negative withdrawal experiences may also lead to use of street drugs alone.¹⁹ Concerns about subsequent overdoses from immediate re-dosing and using alone have been ignored by manufacturers of high-dose naloxone and nalmefene. The use of long-acting opioid reversal agents is also impractical in a community setting: patients receiving nalmefene may need longer periods of observation, by several hours, to ensure they do not experience recurrent respiratory depression.²⁰ It may also complicate initiation of medications for opioid use disorder, such as buprenorphine/naloxone.²¹

Nalmefene nasal spray has never been tested in the real-world. The marketing of nalmefene is predicated on hypotheticals. The pharmacological justifications for nalmefene (longer half-life, etc.) are based solely on a couple of studies with a few dozen healthy volunteers who were not taking opioids. Nalmefene has never been tested in cigarette smokers, people taking over-the-counter medications, vitamins, or those with severe seasonal allergies. People who drank alcohol were excluded from clinical trials. Nalmefene has not been tested in places like North Carolina, where the drug supply contains an admixture of fentanyl, xylazine, methamphetamine, and benzodiazepines. Because of these limitation, nalmefene nasal spray is not approved for use in young children (under 12 years). The manufacturer states “There are no available data on nalmefene use in pregnant women to evaluate for a drug-associated risk of major birth defects or miscarriage.”²²

Stick with what is proven: There is no urgency to switch to untested medications.

A growing body of research, including by experts at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, reveals that claims that additional, stronger, or long-acting doses of naloxone are needed are unfounded.^{23,24,25,26,27,28,29} Research also shows that withdrawal is more likely with the higher-dose products, belying suggestions that adulterants in the drug supply, rather than high-dose formulations, are to blame for heightened withdrawal symptoms. A Viewpoint article from the International Journal of Drug Policy on these new overdose reversal agents concludes that the “development and marketing of more powerful opioid antagonists should be viewed with great skepticism.”³⁰ We agree with the authors’ skepticism. Current research has not supported that these formulations work better in non-experimental settings, and we would need more real-world evidence to support widespread adoption of alternative formulations. Development and adoption of additional dosing options for opioid overdose reversal medications and administration routes that help mitigate severity of precipitated withdrawal are important and should be the focus of research. Providing oxygen to people who use drugs experiencing respiratory depression is also a promising intervention that should be better studied.³¹

As a state, we must remain focused on distributing low-cost, proven naloxone products, in high volume, directly to people who use drugs. This is the only modality of opioid antagonist distribution shown to actually have an impact on overdose.³² Sadly, frontline programs in North Carolina lack adequate access to the supply of naloxone they need.^{33,34} Due to relentless marketing from pharmaceutical companies that make higher-dose formulations, there is a very real concern that decision makers may selectively purchase costlier high-dose and long-acting opioid overdose reversal medications out of a belief they are needed for successful overdose reversal, or out of “an abundance of caution” that ignores the real risks of these formulations. There is also a concern that they can be used to inflict harm through intentionally causing precipitated withdrawal. Overdose reversal medications should be deployed as public health tools to prevent death and not used as either a replacement for high-quality, compassionate overdose response or an extension of punitive responses to substance use.

Sincerely,

Jim Albright, NRP

Lillie Armstrong, MPH

Evan Ashkin, MD, NC Formerly Incarcerated Transition Program

McLane Barber, LCAS CCS

Madigan L. Bedard, PhD

Margaret Denise Bordeaux, On the Bright Side

Hill Brown, Faith in Harm Reduction

Ainsley Bryce, Holler Harm Reduction

Jana Burson, MD, Morse Clinics

Delesha Carpenter, PhD, Rural Research Alliance of Community Pharmacies

Jennifer J. Carroll, PhD, MPH, MA

Jamie Carter, MD, MPH, Lincoln Community Health Center

Brooke Chidgey, MD

Amanda Clark, MPH

Lauren Cobb, CHA Syringe Program

Morgan Culver, MSc

Nabarun Dasgupta, MPH, PhD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Chelsea Dauphinee, NCHRC
Joshua Dittmer, MD, Morse Clinics
Desirée Gorbea-Finalet, MA, Disability Rights North Carolina
Ashley Hale, Starting Point Rural Harm Reduction Collective
Travis Hales, PhD, MSW, UNC Charlotte
Donna Hill, North Carolina Council of Churches
Kat Humphries, MPH, Vital Strategies Overdose Prevention Program, North Carolina
Savannah Junkins, PA-C, MPAS
Lauren Kestner, CSAP, Queen City Harm Reduction at Center for Prevention Services
Carrie Kovalick, MPH, National Sea Change Coalition
Hendrée Jones Lensch, LP, PhD and OUD Expert, UNC Horizons
Bethany Leonard, MSW, CPSS, CHW
Kelly Link, Executive Director, Community Hope Alliance
Devin Lyall, Wilkes Harm Reduction Collective powered by Wilkes Recovery Revolution, Inc.
Jalice Manso, Injury Prevention Research Center
Grace Marley, PharmD
Annelise Mennicke, PhD
Beth Moracco, PhD, MPH
Eric Morse, MD, DFAPA, Morse Clinics
Drew Mossman, FNP, PMHNP, Boulder Care
Brandi Neumann, Starting Point Rural Harm Reduction Collective
North Carolina Harm Reduction Coalition
Bayla Ostrach, PhD, MA, CIP, Fruit of Labor Action Research & TA, LLC; UNC Eshelman
School of Pharmacy; Boston University School of Medicine
Catherine Paquette, PhD
Alexis Parson-Adams, MSW, CHES, Center for Prevention Services
Chandler Picot, CPSS, CDAC-R, Community Advocate
Holly Racer, MSW
Mel Ramage, FNP-BC, CARN-AP, LCAS, NC PSUD Network
Jodie Rodewald, MA, Jackson County Health Department
Roxanne Saucier, MPH
Jordan Scott, Expand Good Sam NC
Shuchin Shukla, MD, MPH
Adams Sibley, PhD, MPH
Hushabye Sinn, The Steady Collective
Hope Smiley-McDonald, PhD
Richard Smith, CPSSNC, Healing Transitions/Rapid Response Team
Rachel Thornley, Twin City Harm Reduction Collective
Erin Tracy, MS, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Jeff Walker, NCCPSS, Wilkes Recovery Revolution
Leah Whitehead
Joseph Williams, MD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Loftin Wilson, NCHRC
Katherine Zuk, MPH, MSW, Vital Strategies Overdose Prevention Program, North Carolina

-
- ¹ Russell E, Lovitch N. *Compassionate overdose response summit: Highlights and key takeaways*. 2024; https://www.healthmanagement.com/wp-content/uploads/HMA-Report-Compassionate-Overdose-Response-Summit_5-1-FINAL.pdf.
- ² Remedy Alliance/For the People. *Injectable naloxone: The wave of the future, and the past*. 2022; https://cdn.shopify.com/s/files/1/0639/2748/4637/files/IM_Naloxone_FAQ.pdf?v=1669955943.
- ³ California Syringe Exchange Programs Coalition. *Statement regarding high dose naloxone and long acting nalmefene opioid overdose reversal formulations*. 2024; <https://www.harmreductiontherapeutics.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/08/High-Dose-Naloxone-Statement.pdf>.
- ⁴ Bowen NA, Bhatt S, Salvador J, Bonham C. *Naloxone statement on formulations over 4mg*; <https://www.doseofreality.com/save-a-life/get-narcan/>.
- ⁵ Stolbach AI, Mazer-Amirshahi M, Lewis S, Nelson LS, Cole JB. *ACMT & AACT joint position statement on nalmefene should not replace naloxone as the primary opioid antidote at this time*. 2023; <https://www.acmt.net/news/acmt-aact-joint-position-statement-on-nalmefene-should-not-replace-naloxone-as-the-primary-opioid-antidote-at-thistime/>.
- ⁶ Carpenter J, Murray BP, Atti S, et al. Naloxone dosing after opioid overdose in the era of illicitly manufactured fentanyl. *Journal of Medical Toxicology*. 2020;16:41–48.
- ⁷ Dasgupta, N, Bell A. *Two decades of naloxone data: Insights from syringe service participants*. 2024; <https://doi.org/10.17615/sp1z-3707>.
- ⁸ Rock P, Slavova S, Westgate PM, Nakamura A, Walsh SL. Examination of naloxone dosing patterns for opioid overdose by emergency medical services in Kentucky during increased fentanyl use from 2018 to 2021. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2024 Feb 1;255:111062. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2023.111062. Epub 2023 Dec 14. PMID: 38157702; PMCID: PMC11057324.
- ⁹ Wheeler E, Doe-Simkins M. Harm reduction programs distribute one million doses of naloxone in 2019. *Medium*. 2020; <https://medium.com/@ejwharmreduction/harm-reduction-programs-distribute-one-million-doses-of-naloxone-in-2019-4884d3535256>.
- ¹⁰ Wheeler E, Jones TS, Gilbert MK, Davidson PJ; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Opioid overdose prevention programs providing naloxone to laypersons - United States, 2014. *MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. 2015 Jun 19;64(23):631-5. PMID: 26086633; PMCID: PMC4584734.
- ¹¹ World Health Organisation. *WHO model list of essential medicines - 23rd list, 2023*. 2023; <https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-MHP-HPS-EML-2023.02>.
- ¹² Moustaqim-Barrette A, Papamihali K, Williams S, Ferguson M, Moe J, Purssell R, et al. Adverse events related to bystander naloxone administration in cases of suspected opioid overdose in British Columbia: An observational study. *PLoS ONE*. 2021;16(10):e0259126. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259126>.
- ¹³ Russell E, Hawk M, Neale J, Bennett A, Davis C, Hill LG, et al. A call for compassionate opioid overdose response. *International Journal of Drug Policy*. 2024;133, 104587. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2024.104587>.
- ¹⁴ Dasgupta, N, Bell A. *Two decades of naloxone data: Insights from syringe service participants*. 2024; <https://doi.org/10.17615/sp1z-3707>.
- ¹⁵ Hill LG, Zagorski CM, Loera LJ. Increasingly powerful opioid antagonists are not necessary. *International Journal of Drug Policy*. 2022;99:103457. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2021.103457.
- ¹⁶ Purssell R, Godwin J, Moe J, Buxton J, Crabtree A, Kestler A, DeWitt C, Scheuermeyer F, Erdelyi S, Balshaw R, Rowe A, Cochrane CK, Ng B, Jiang A, Risi A, Ho V, Brubacher JR. Comparison of rates of opioid withdrawal symptoms and reversal of opioid toxicity in patients treated with two naloxone dosing regimens: a retrospective cohort study. *Clinical Toxicology (Philadelphia)*. 2021 Jan;59(1):38-46. doi: 10.1080/15563650.2020.1758325. Epub 2020 May 13. PMID: 32401548.
- ¹⁷ Higher-dose naloxone nasal spray (Kloxxado) for opioid overdose. *JAMA*. 2021 Nov 9;326(18):1853-1854. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.15948. PMID: 34751711.
- ¹⁸ Moustaqim-Barrette A, Papamihali K, Williams S, Ferguson M, Moe J, Purssell R, et al. Adverse events related to bystander naloxone administration in cases of suspected opioid overdose in British Columbia: An observational study. *PLoS ONE*. 2021;16(10):e0259126. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259126>.
- ¹⁹ Russell E, Lovitch N. *Compassionate overdose response summit: Highlights and key takeaways*. 2024; https://www.healthmanagement.com/wp-content/uploads/HMA-Report-Compassionate-Overdose-Response-Summit_5-1-FINAL.pdf.

-
- ²⁰ Stolbach AI, Mazer-Amirshahi M, Lewis S, Nelson LS, Cole JB. *ACMT & AACT joint position statement on nalmefene should not replace naloxone as the primary opioid antidote at this time*. 2023; <https://www.acmt.net/news/acmt-aact-joint-position-statement-on-nalmefene-should-not-replace-naloxone-as-the-primary-opioid-antidote-at-this-time/>.
- ²¹ Infante AF, Elmes AT, Gimbar RP, Messmer SE, Neeb C, Jarrett JB. Stronger, longer, better opioid antagonists? Nalmefene is NOT a naloxone replacement, *International Journal of Drug Policy*. 2024;124:104323. Doi:10.1016/j.droppo.2024.104323.
- ²² Opvee. *Opvee prescribing information*. Accessed September 26, 2024; <https://opvee.com/>.
- ²³ Dasgupta, N, Bell A. *Two decades of naloxone data: Insights from syringe service participants*. 2024;<https://doi.org/10.17615/sp1z-3707>.
- ²⁴ Payne ER, Stancliff S, Rowe K, Christie JA, Dailey MW. Comparison of administration of 8-milligram and 4-milligram intranasal naloxone by law enforcement during response to suspected opioid overdose — New York, March 2022–August 2023. *MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. 2024;73:110–113. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7305a4>.
- ²⁵ Rock P, Slavova S, Westgate PM, Nakamura A, Walsh SL. Examination of naloxone dosing patterns for opioid overdose by emergency medical services in Kentucky during increased fentanyl use from 2018 to 2021. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2024 Feb 1;255:111062. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2023.111062. Epub 2023 Dec 14. PMID: 38157702; PMCID: PMC11057324.
- ²⁶ Budesza Z, Vance K, Smith R, Carpenter R, Banks D, Green L, Marshall BDL, Schackman BR, Zang X, Winograd R. Missouri's overdose field report: Descriptive analysis, survival trends, and naloxone dosing patterns from a community-based survey tool, 2018-2022. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*. 2024 May 3;50(3):413-425. doi: 10.1080/00952990.2024.2358046. Epub 2024 Jun 25. PMID: 38917333; PMCID: PMC11305909.
- ²⁷ Carpenter J, Murray BP, Atti S, et al. Naloxone dosing after opioid overdose in the era of illicitly manufactured fentanyl. *Journal of Medical Toxicology*. 2020;16:41–48.
- ²⁸ Bell A, Bennett AS, Jones TS, Doe-Simkins M, Williams LD. Amount of naloxone used to reverse opioid overdoses outside of medical practice in a city with increasing illicitly manufactured fentanyl in illicit drug supply. *Substance Abuse*. 2019;40(1):52-55. doi: 10.1080/08897077.2018.1449053. Epub 2018 Jun 25. PMID: 29558283.
- ²⁹ Coffin PO, Maya S, Kahn JG. Modeling of overdose and naloxone distribution in the setting of fentanyl compared to heroin. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2022 Jul 1;236:109478. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2022.109478. Epub 2022 Apr 29. PMID: 35588609; PMCID: PMC9235402.
- ³⁰ Hill LG, Zagorski CM, Loera LJ. Increasingly powerful opioid antagonists are not necessary. *International Journal of Drug Policy*. 2022;99:103457.doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2021.103457.
- ³¹ Russell E, Lovitch N. *Compassionate overdose response summit: Highlights and key takeaways*. 2024 ;https://www.healthmanagement.com/wp-content/uploads/HMA-Report-Compassionate-Overdose-Response-Summit_5-1-FINAL.pdf.
- ³² Walley A Y, Xuan Z, Hackman H H, Quinn E, Doe-Simkins M, Sorensen-Alawad A et al. Opioid overdose rates and implementation of overdose education and nasal naloxone distribution in Massachusetts: interrupted time series analysis *BMJ*. 2013; 346 :f174 doi:10.1136/bmj.f174.
- ³³ Irvine MA, Oller D, Boggis J, Bishop B, Coombs D, Wheeler E, Doe-Simkins M, Walley AY, Marshall BDL, Bratberg J, Green TC. Estimating naloxone need in the USA across fentanyl, heroin, and prescription opioid epidemics: A modelling study. *Lancet Public Health*. 2022 Mar;7(3):e210-e218. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00304-2. Epub 2022 Feb 10. PMID: 35151372; PMCID: PMC10937095.
- ³⁴ NC Department of Health and Human Services Injury and Violence Prevention Branch. *Informal survey of NC syringe service programs*. 2024.